

Advances in Startpoint Theory for quasi-pseudometric spaces.

Yaé Ulrich Gaba,
gabayae2@gmail.com,
Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics,
University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa.

March 3, 2015

Abstract

This paper presents some startpoint (endpoint, fixed point) theorems for multi-valued maps that generalize recent results proved by Y. U. Gaba [1, 2].

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Definition 1.1 *Let X be a non empty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a **quasi-pseudometric** on X if:*

- i) $d(x, x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in X$,*
- ii) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$.*

*Moreover, if $d(x, y) = 0 = d(y, x) \implies x = y$, then d is said to be a T_0 -**quasi-pseudometric**. The latter condition is referred to as the T_0 -condition.*

Remark 1.2

- Let d be a quasi-pseudometric on X , then the map d^{-1} defined by $d^{-1}(x, y) = d(y, x)$ whenever $x, y \in X$ is also a quasi-pseudometric on X , called the **conjugate** of d . In the literature, d^{-1} is also denoted d^t or $\bar{d}$.*
- It is easy to verify that the function d^s defined by $d^s := d \vee d^{-1}$, i.e. $d^s(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$ defines a **metric** on X whenever d is a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric.*

Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space.

For $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$C_d(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

denotes the open ε -ball at x . The collection of all such balls yields a base for the topology $\tau(d)$ induced by d on X . Hence, for any $A \in X$, we shall respectively denote by $\text{int}_{\tau(d)}A$ and $\text{cl}_{\tau(d)}A$ the interior and the closure of the set A with respect to the topology $\tau(d)$. Similarly, for $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$C_d(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

denotes the closed ε -ball at x . In the case where (X, d) is a T_0 quasi-pseudometric space, we know that d^s defined by $d^s := d \vee d^{-1}$, i.e. $d^s(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$ defines a metric on X . Hence, we shall say that a subset $E \subset X$ is *join-closed* if it is $\tau(d^s)$ -closed, i.e. closed with respect to the topology generated by d^s . The topology $\tau(d^s)$ finer than the topologies $\tau(d)$ and $\tau(d^{-1})$.

Definition 1.3 Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space. The convergence of a sequence (x_n) to x with respect to $\tau(d)$, called ***d-convergence*** or ***left-convergence*** and denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$, is defined in the following way

$$x_n \xrightarrow{d} x \iff d(x, x_n) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, the convergence of a sequence (x_n) to x with respect to $\tau(d^{-1})$, called ***d⁻¹-convergence*** or ***right-convergence*** and denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} x$, is defined in the following way

$$x_n \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} x \iff d(x_n, x) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

Finally, in a quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) , we shall say that a sequence (x_n) ***d^s-converges*** to x if it is both left and right convergent to x , and we denote it as $x_n \xrightarrow{d^s} x$ or $x_n \longrightarrow x$ when there is no confusion. Hence

$$x_n \xrightarrow{d^s} x \iff x_n \xrightarrow{d} x \text{ and } x_n \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} x.$$

Definition 1.4 A sequence (x_n) in a quasi-pseudometric (X, d) is called

- (a) ***left d-Cauchy*** with respect to d if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $x \in X$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \geq n_0 \quad d(x, x_n) < \epsilon;$$

- (b) ***left K-Cauchy*** with respect to d if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, k : n_0 \leq k \leq n \quad d(x_k, x_n) < \epsilon;$$

(c) d^s -**Cauchy** if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n, k \geq n_0 \quad d(x_n, x_k) < \epsilon.$$

Dually, we define in the same way, **right d -Cauchy** and **right K -Cauchy** sequences.

Remark 1.5

- d^s -Cauchy $\implies$ left K -Cauchy $\implies$ left d -Cauchy. The same implications hold for the corresponding right notions. None of the above implications is reversible.
- A sequence is left K -Cauchy with respect to d if and only if it is right K -Cauchy with respect to d^{-1} .
- A sequence is d^s -Cauchy if and only if it is both left and right K -Cauchy.

Definition 1.6 A quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) is called

- **left- K -complete** provided that any left K -Cauchy sequence is d -convergent,
- **left Smyth sequentially complete** if any left K -Cauchy sequence is d^s -convergent.

The dual of notions of **right-completeness** are easily derived from the above.

Definition 1.7 A T_0 -quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) is called **bicomplete** provided that the metric d^s on X is complete.

As usual, a subset A of a quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) will be called *bounded* provided that there exists a positive real constant M such that $d(x, y) < M$ whenever $x, y \in A$. Note that a subset A of (X, d) is bounded if and only if there are $x \in X$ and $r, s \geq 0$ such that $A \subset C_d(x, r) \cap C_{d^{-1}}(x, s)$.

We set $\mathcal{P}_0(X) := 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ where 2^X denotes the power set of X . For $x \in X$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$, we set:

$$d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a), a \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad d(A, x) = \inf\{d(a, x), a \in A\},$$

and define $H(A, B)$ by

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b) \right\}.$$

Then H is an extended quasi-pseudometric on $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$. Moreover, we know from [3] that, the restriction of H to $S_{cl}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : A = cl_{\tau(d)}A \cap cl_{\tau(d^{-1})}A\}$ is an extended T_0 -quasi-pseudometric. We shall denote by $CB(X)$ the collection of all nonempty bounded and $\tau(d)$ -closed subsets of X .

We complete this section by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.8 ([1]) *Let (X, d) be a quasi-pseudometric space. For every fixed $x \in X$, the mapping $y \mapsto d(x, y)$ is $\tau(d)$ -usc and $\tau(d^{-1})$ -lsc. For every fixed $y \in X$, the mapping $x \mapsto d(x, y)$ is $\tau(d)$ -lsc and $\tau(d^{-1})$ -usc.*

For the convenience of the reader, we recall the following.

Definition 1.9 ([1]) *Let $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a set-valued map. An element $x \in X$ is said to be*

- (i) *a fixed point of F if $x \in Fx$,*
- (ii) *a startpoint of F if $H(\{x\}, Fx) = 0$,*
- (iii) *an endpoint of F if $H(Fx, \{x\}) = 0$.*

We also give here the first result of the theory as they appear in the original paper [1].

Theorem 1.10 (Gaba [1]) *Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $F : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a set-valued map and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Fx)$. If there exists $c \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Fx$ satisfying*

$$H(\{y\}, Fy) \leq c(d(x, y)), \quad (3)$$

then T has a startpoint.

Motivated by this result, Gaba proved the following theorems in [2]

Theorem 1.11 (Gaba [2]) *Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ a function such that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \Phi(r) < 1$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$. Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying*

$$H(\{y\}, Ty) \leq \Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))H(\{x\}, \{y\}), \quad (4)$$

then T has a startpoint.

Theorem 1.12 (*Gaba [2]*) Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Let $b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [a, 1)$, $a > 0$ be a non-decreasing function. Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be a function such that $\Phi(t) < b(t)$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \Phi(r) < \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} b(r)$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$. Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$H(\{y\}, Ty) \leq \Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))H(\{x\}, \{y\}), \quad (5)$$

then T has a startpoint.

We shall denote by $B(X)$ the collection of all nonempty bounded subsets of X .

2 Main results

We can state our first result.

Theorem 2.1 Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [b, 1)$, $0 < b < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x))H(\{x\}, \{y\}), \quad (6)$$

then T has a startpoint.

Proof. 2.2 Observe that for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$, we have

$$\eta(f(x))H(\{x\}, \{y\}) \leq f(x). \quad (7)$$

The proof follows similar patterns as in Theorem 1.12. Let $x_0 \in X$, there exists $x_1 \in Tx_0 \subseteq X$ such that

$$\eta(f(x_0))H(\{x_0\}, \{x_1\}) \leq f(x_0) \text{ and } f(x_1) \leq \varphi(f(x_0))H(\{x_0\}, \{x_1\}).$$

Then

$$f(x_1) \leq \frac{\varphi(f(x_0))}{\eta(f(x_0))} \eta(f(x_0))H(\{x_0\}, \{x_1\}) \leq \frac{\varphi(f(x_0))}{\eta(f(x_0))} f(x_0).$$

In this manner we can build the sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ such that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n \subseteq X$, with

$$\eta(f(x_n))H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) \leq f(x_n). \quad (8)$$

and

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\varphi(f(x_n))}{\eta(f(x_n))} f(x_n). \quad (9)$$

From (9), it follows that $(f(x_n))$ is a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers, so there exists $\delta \geq 0$ such that $f(x_n) \rightarrow \delta$. If we let $\beta = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(f(x_n))}{\eta(f(x_n))} < 1$, then for $q = \frac{\beta+1}{2} < 1$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(f(x_n))}{\eta(f(x_n))} < q \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Thus

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq q^{n-n_0+1} f(x_{n_0}), \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0, \quad (10)$$

and so

$$H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) \leq \frac{1}{\eta(f(x_n))} f(x_n) \leq \frac{1}{b} q^{n-n_0} f(x_{n_0}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Hence (x_n) is a left K -Cauchy sequence. According to the left K -completeness of (X, d) , there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x^*$.

Observe that letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (10), we get that the sequence $(fx_n) = (H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n))$ converges to 0. Since f is $\tau(d)$ -lower semicontinuous (as supremum of $\tau(d)$ -lower semicontinuous functions), we have

$$0 \leq f(x^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0.$$

Hence $f(x^*) = 0$, i.e. $H(\{x^*\}, Tx^*) = 0$.

This completes the proof.

Next we give an example of mapping which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 but does not fulfil the conditions of Theorem 1.11. This example actually motivates our extension.

Example 2.3 Let $X = [0, 10]$ and $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the mapping defined by $d(a, b) = \max\{a - b, 0\}$. Then d is a T_0 -quasi-pseudometric on X . Moreover, since any sequence in (X, d) d -converges to 0, (X, d) is left K -complete. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be such that

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \{4, 5\}, & \text{if } x = 6, \\ \{\frac{x}{2}\}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 10] \setminus \{6\}. \end{cases}$$

An explicit computation of $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$ gives

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x = 6, \\ \frac{x}{2}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 10] \setminus \{6\}. \end{cases}$$

In view of Theorem (1.11), we set $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ for every $x \geq 0$. So, for $x = 6$, $T6 = \{4, 5\}$ and $f(6) = 2$.

If $y = 4$ we have $\Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) = \Phi(d(6, 4)) = \Phi(2) = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$H(\{y\}, Ty) = d(4, 2) = 2 > \Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))H(\{x\}, \{y\}) = 1.$$

If $y = 5$ we have $\Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) = \Phi(d(6, 5)) = \Phi(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$H(\{y\}, Ty) = d\left(5, \frac{5}{2}\right) = \frac{5}{2} > \Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))H(\{x\}, \{y\}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence the Theorem (1.11) cannot be applied for T .

However, it is a simple exercise to show that for $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\eta(x) = \frac{2}{3}$ for every $x \geq 0$, the mapping T satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem (2.1). Hence T has a starpoint which is 0.

We have the logical corollaries.

Corollary 2.4 Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [b, 1)$, $0 < b < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x))H(\{y\}, \{x\}), \quad (11)$$

then T has an endpoint.

Corollary 2.5 Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H^s(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [b, 1)$, $0 < b < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$f(y) \leq \min\{\varphi(H(\{x\}, Tx))H(\{x\}, \{y\}), \varphi(H(Tx, \{x\}))H(\{y\}, \{x\})\}, \quad (12)$$

then T has a fixed point.

Proof. 2.6 We give here the main idea of the proof. Observe that the inequality (12) guarantees that the sequence (x_n) constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is a d^s -Cauchy sequence and hence d^s -converges to some x^* . Using the fact that f is $\tau(d^s)$ -lower semicontinuous (as supremum of $\tau(d^s)$ -continuous functions), we have

$$0 \leq f(x^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0.$$

Hence $f(x^*) = 0$, i.e. $H(\{x^*\}, Tx^*) = 0 = H(Tx^*, \{x^*\})$, and we are done.

The Theorem 1.12 imposes a monotonicity condition on the bounding function b . We rewrite the original assumptions to obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.7 Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [b, 1]$, $0 < b < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty), \quad (13)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (14)$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))H(\{x\}, \{y\}), \quad (15)$$

then T has a startpoint.

Proof. 2.8 Observe that for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$, we have

$$\eta(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))H(\{x\}, \{y\}) \leq f(x). \quad (16)$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can build a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ such that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n \subseteq X$, with

$$\eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) \leq f(x_n), \quad (17)$$

and

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))}{\eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))} f(x_n). \quad (18)$$

Set $\Phi(t) = \frac{\varphi(t)}{\eta(t)}$ and since $\Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) < 1$ for any $x, y \in X$, it follows that $2 - \Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) > 1$ for any $x, y \in X$. Hence

$$H(\{x\}, \{y\}) \leq [2 - \Phi(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))]H(\{x\}, Tx), \quad (19)$$

for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$.

This entails that for the sequence (x_n) , we have

$$H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) \leq [2 - \Phi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))]H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n), \quad (20)$$

and

$$H(\{x_{n+1}\}, Tx_{n+1}) \leq \Psi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (21)$$

where $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is defined by

$$\Psi(t) = \Phi(t)(2 - \Phi(t)).$$

For simplicity, denote $d_n := H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})$ and $D_n := H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. So from (21) we can write

$$D_{n+1} \leq \Psi(d_n)D_n \leq D_n \quad (22)$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Hence (D_n) is a strictly decreasing sequence, hence there exists $\delta \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = \delta. \quad (23)$$

From (20), it is easy to see that

$$d_n < 2D_n. \quad (24)$$

Thus the sequence (d_n) is bounded and so there is $d \geq 0$ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = d$, and hence a subsequence (d_{n_k}) of (d_n) such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{n_k} = d^+$. From (21) we have $D_{n_k+1} \leq \Psi(d_{n_k})D_{n_k}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_{n_k+1} \leq (\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(d_{n_k}))(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_{n_k}) \\ &\leq \limsup_{d_{n_k} \rightarrow d^+} \Psi(d_{n_k})\delta. \end{aligned}$$

This together with the fact $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \Phi(r) < 1$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$ imply that $\delta = 0$. Then from (23) and (24) we derive that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$.

Claim 1 (x_n) is a left K -Cauchy sequence.

Now let $\alpha := \limsup_{d_n \rightarrow 0^+} \Psi(d_n)$ and q such that $\alpha < q < 1$. This choice of q is always possible since $\alpha < 1$. Then there is n_0 such that $\Psi(d_n) < q$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So from (22) we have $D_{n+1} \leq qD_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then by induction we get $D_n \leq q^{n-n_0}D_{n_0}$ for all $n \geq n_0 + 1$. Combining this and the inequality (24) we get

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^m d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq 2 \sum_{k=n_0}^m q^{k-n_0} D_{n_0} \leq 2 \frac{1}{1-q} D_{n_0}$$

for all $m > n \geq n_0 + 1$. Hence (x_n) is a left K -Cauchy sequence. According to the left K -completeness of (X, d) , there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x^*$.

Claim 2 x^* is a startpoint of T .

Observe that the sequence $D_n = (fx_n) = (H(\{x_n\}, Tx_n))$ converges to 0. Since f is $\tau(d)$ -lower semicontinuous (as supremum of $\tau(d)$ -lower semicontinuous functions), we have

$$0 \leq f(x^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0.$$

Hence $f(x^*) = 0$, i.e. $H(\{x^*\}, Tx^*) = 0$.

This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.9 Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1], \eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [b, 1], 0 < b < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty), \quad (25)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (26)$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(H(\{y\}, \{x\}))H(\{y\}, \{x\}), \quad (27)$$

then T has an endpoint.

Corollary 2.10 Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H^s(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1], \eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [b, 1], 0 < b < 1$ such that

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty), \quad (28)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty). \quad (29)$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$f(y) \leq \min\{\varphi(a)a, \varphi(b)b\}, \quad (30)$$

where $a = H(\{y\}, \{x\})$ and $b = H(\{y\}, \{x\})$. Then T has a fixed point.

Another approach worth investigating would be to try to generalize even further conditions (6) and (15). In this direction, we state the new results.

Theorem 2.11 *Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

φ is non-decreasing and $\varphi(t) < \eta(t)$, $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq f(x), \quad (31)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x)). \quad (32)$$

Then T has a startpoint.

Proof. 2.12 *Let $x_0 \in X$. We can choose $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that*

$$\eta(H(\{x_0\}, \{x_1\})) \leq f(x_0) \text{ and } f(x_1) \leq \varphi(f(x_0)).$$

In this way, we build the sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ such that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$, with

$$\eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})) \leq f(x_n) \quad (33)$$

and

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(f(x_n)). \quad (34)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\})) &< \eta(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\})) \\ &\leq f(x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(f(x_n)) \\ &\leq \varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\varphi(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\})) < \varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))$. Because φ is non-decreasing, the sequence $(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))$ is decreasing. Because the sequence $(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))$ is bounded from below, it converges. Using (33) and (34) we have that

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))}{\eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))} f(x_n).$$

Due to

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1,$$

there exist $q \in (0, 1)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{\varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))}{\eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}))} < q \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Thus

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq q^{n-n_0+1} f(x_{n_0}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

For $n \geq n_0 + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})) &< \eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})) \\ &\leq f(x_n) \leq \varphi(f(x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \varphi(q^{n-n_0-1} f(x_{n_0})). \end{aligned}$$

Because φ is non-decreasing, $H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) \leq q^{n-n_0-1} f(x_{n_0})$. It is therefore easy to see that (x_n) is a left K -Cauchy sequence and its limit is a startpoint for T .

Corollary 2.13 *Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\varphi \text{ is non-decreasing and } \varphi(t) < \eta(t), \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{y\}, \{x\})) \leq f(x), \tag{35}$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x)). \tag{36}$$

Then T has an endpoint.

Corollary 2.14 *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H^s(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\varphi \text{ is non-decreasing and } \varphi(t) < \eta(t), \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H^s(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq \min\{H(\{x\}, Tx), H(Tx, \{x\})\}, \quad (37)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \min\{\varphi(H(\{x\}, Tx)), \varphi(H(Tx, \{x\}))\}. \quad (38)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 2.15 Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\eta \text{ is non-decreasing and } \varphi(t) < \eta(t), \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \text{ for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq f(x), \quad (39)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x)). \quad (40)$$

Then T has a startpoint.

Proof. 2.16 We build the sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.11. Since η is non-decreasing we obtain we obtain that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\varphi(f(x_n))}{\eta(f(x_n))} f(x_n).$$

Hence $(f(x_n))$ is decreasing. Because the sequence $(f(x_n))$ is bounded from below, it converges. Again there exist $q \in (0, 1)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq q^{n-n_0+1} f(x_{n_0}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

For $n \geq n_0 + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})) &\leq f(x_n) \leq \varphi(f(x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \eta(f(x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \eta(q^{n-n_0-1} f(x_{n_0})). \end{aligned}$$

But η is non-decreasing, so $H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) \leq q^{n-n_0-1} f(x_{n_0})$. As above we can show that (x_n) is a left K -Cauchy sequence and its limit is a startpoint for T .

Corollary 2.17 *Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

η is non-decreasing and $\varphi(t) < \eta(t)$, $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{y\}, \{x\})) \leq f(x), \quad (41)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x)). \quad (42)$$

Then T has an endpoint.

Corollary 2.18 *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H^s(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

η is non-decreasing and $\varphi(t) < \eta(t)$, $\limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H^s(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq \min\{H(\{x\}, Tx), H(Tx, \{x\})\}, \quad (43)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \min\{\varphi(H(Tx, \{x\})), \varphi(H(\{x\}, Tx))\}. \quad (44)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

In the sequel, we prove two related theorems.

Theorem 2.19 *Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that φ is continuous, non-decreasing,*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) \leq t, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq f(x), \quad (45)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x)). \quad (46)$$

Then T has a startpoint.

Proof. 2.20 Again we build the sequence (x_n) with $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ such that (33) and (34) hold. Without loss of generality, we can always assume that $H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) > 0$ and $f(x_n) > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (because otherwise, the proof is already complete).

Now, let $t > 0$, since $0 \leq \varphi(t) < t$, the sequence $(\varphi^n(t))$ is decreasing and bounded from below by 0. Suppose its limit is $\zeta > 0$, then

$$\zeta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = \varphi \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{n-1}(t) \right) = \varphi(\zeta) < \zeta,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$.

From (34), we have that

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(f(x_n)) \leq \cdots \leq \varphi^{n+1}(f(x_0)),$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$.

Since φ is non-decreasing

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\})) &< \eta(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\})) \\ &\leq f(x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(f(x_n)) \\ &\leq \varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})), \end{aligned}$$

the sequence $(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\}))$ is decreasing. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) = \xi > 0$, we have, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})) < f(x_n)$ that $\varphi(\xi) = 0$. On the other hand, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(x_{n_1}) < \xi$ and hence $\varphi(f(x_{n_1})) = 0$. This means that $f(x_{n_1+1}) = 0$. In this way we obtain a startpoint. Therefore, we may consider that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) = 0$. Continuing as in the proof of Theorem (2.11) one can show that T is not startpoint free.

Corollary 2.21 Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that φ is continuous, non-decreasing,

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) \leq t, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{y\}, \{x\})) \leq f(x), \quad (47)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x)). \quad (48)$$

Then T has an endpoint.

Corollary 2.22 *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H^s(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that φ is continuous, non-decreasing,*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) \leq t, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\min\{\eta(H(\{y\}, \{x\})), \eta(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))\} \leq \min\{H(\{x\}, Tx), H(Tx, \{x\})\}, \quad (49)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \min\{\varphi(H(\{x\}, Tx)), \varphi(H(Tx, \{x\}))\}. \quad (50)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Using a similar argument as above we can prove the following result.

Theorem 2.23 *Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that η is continuous, non-decreasing,*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) < t, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq f(x), \quad (51)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x)). \quad (52)$$

Then T has a startpoint.

Proof. 2.24 *We give a sketch of the proof. We use the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta^n(t) = 0$ for each $t \in [0, \infty)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_{n+1}) &\leq \varphi(f(x_n)) < \eta(f(x_n)) \leq \eta(\varphi(f(x_{n-1}))) \\ &\leq \eta^2(f(x_{n-1})) \leq \dots \leq \eta^{n+1}(f(x_0)), \end{aligned}$$

to conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$. As in Theorem (2.15), we can prove that T has a startpoint.

Corollary 2.25 *Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that η is continuous, non-decreasing,*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) < t, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{y\}, \{x\})) \leq f(x), \quad (53)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(f(x)). \quad (54)$$

Then T has an endpoint.

Corollary 2.26 *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H^s(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that η is continuous, non-decreasing,*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t) < t, \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H^s(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq \min\{H(\{x\}, Tx), H(Tx, \{x\})\}, \quad (55)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \min\{\varphi(H(Tx, \{x\})), \varphi(H(\{x\}, Tx))\}. \quad (56)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

In the above results it would be interesting to replace the condition (40) by $f(y) \leq \varphi(H(\{x\}, \{y\}))$. Pursuing this idea we derive the following two theorems.

Theorem 2.27 *Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that φ is non-decreasing and subadditive*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq f(x), \quad (57)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(H(\{x\}, \{y\})). \quad (58)$$

Then T has a startpoint.

Proof. 2.28 Similarly as before, we can build the sequence (x_n) with $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$,

$$\eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})) \leq f(x_n) \text{ and } f(x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})).$$

The sequence $(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\}))$ is decreasing since φ is non-decreasing and

$$\varphi(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\})) < \eta(H(\{x_{n+1}\}, \{x_{n+2}\})) \leq f(x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})).$$

Thus it is convergent. Then there exist $q \in (0, 1)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(x_{n+1}) \leq q^{n-n_0+1} f(x_{n_0}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

For $n \geq n_0$

$$\varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})) < \eta(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\})) \leq f(x_n) \leq q^{n-n_0} f(x_{n_0}).$$

For $n \geq n_0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(H(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+p}\})) &\leq \varphi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} H(\{x_{n+k}\}, \{x_{n+k+1}\})\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \varphi(H(\{x_{n+k}\}, \{x_{n+k+1}\})) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} q^{n-n_0+k} f(x_{n_0}) \leq \frac{q^{n-n_0}}{1-q} f(x_{n_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Since we may assume that $\varphi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ (otherwise $\varphi(t) = 0$) for every $t \geq 0$ and the existence of a startpoint is immediate) we can prove by contradiction that (x_n) is left K -Cauchy sequence and its limit is a startpoint for T .

Corollary 2.29 Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that φ is non-decreasing and subadditive

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{y\}, \{x\})) \leq f(x), \quad (59)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(H(\{y\}, \{x\})). \quad (60)$$

Then T has an endpoint.

Corollary 2.30 *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H^s(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that φ is non-decreasing and subadditive*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \text{ for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H^s(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq \min\{H(\{x\}, Tx), H(Tx, \{x\})\}, \quad (61)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \min\{\varphi(H(\{x\}, \{y\})), \varphi(H(\{y\}, \{x\}))\}. \quad (62)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

In the same manner we can prove that

Theorem 2.31 *Let (X, d) be a left K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that η is non-decreasing and subadditive*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \text{ for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq f(x), \quad (63)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(H(\{x\}, \{y\})). \quad (64)$$

Then T has a startpoint.

Corollary 2.32 *Let (X, d) be a right K -complete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H(Tx, \{x\})$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that η is non-decreasing and subadditive*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \text{ for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H(\{y\}, \{x\})) \leq f(x), \quad (65)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \varphi(H(\{y\}, \{x\})). \quad (66)$$

Then T has an endpoint.

Corollary 2.33 *Let (X, d) be a bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a set-valued map and define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f(x) = H^s(\{x\}, Tx)$. Suppose that there exist functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that η is non-decreasing and subadditive*

$$\varphi(t) < \eta(t), \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow t^+} \frac{\varphi(r)}{\eta(r)} < 1 \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, assume that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ satisfying

$$\eta(H^s(\{x\}, \{y\})) \leq \min\{H(\{x\}, Tx), H(Tx, \{x\})\}, \quad (67)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq \min\{\varphi(H(\{x\}, \{y\})), \varphi(H(\{y\}, \{x\}))\}. \quad (68)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Remark 2.34 *All the results given remain true when we replace accordingly the bicomplete quasi-pseudometric space (X, d) by a left Smyth sequentially complete/left K -complete or a right Smyth sequentially complete/right K -complete space.*

References

- [1] Y. U. Gaba; *Startpoints and (α, γ) -contractions in quasi-pseudometric spaces*, Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 709253, 8 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/709253>.
- [2] Y. U. Gaba; *New Results in the Startpoint Theory for Quasi-Pseudometric Spaces*, Journal of Operators, Volume 2014, Article ID 741818, 5 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/741818>.
- [3] H.-P. Künzi and C. Ryser, *The Bourbaki quasi-uniformity*, Topology Proceedings, vol. 20, pp 161-183, 1995.